

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 USSCC 05871 061153Z

21 14

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00 /031 W

----- 043770

P R 060940Z NOV 73

FM USDEL USSCC GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 0000

INFO SECDEF WASHDC

S E C R E T USSCC GENEVA 5871

EXDIS/SCC

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

E.O.11652 XGDSI

TAGS PARM

SUBJ SCC MEETING NOVEMBER 5 (SCC 88-021)

1. USTINOV TABLED FOLLOWING REVISION FOR SECTION II, PARA. 1 OF
OFFENSIVE PROCEDURES:
PARAGRAPH II.1

"IN ALL CASES THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS SHALL BE PERFORMED IN CARRYING
OUT DISMANTLING OR DESTRUCTION:

(A) REMOVAL FROM THE LAUNCH SITE OF THE SUPPLY OF MISSILES AND
THEIR COMPONENTS, WARHEADS, AND MOBILE EQUIPMENT;

(B) DISMANTLING OF FIXED LAUNCH EQUIPMENT, ALL ERECTING AND
HANDLING EQUIPMENT, AND PROPELLANT HANDLING EQUIPMENT, ASSOCIATED
WITH THE LAUNCHER AND LOCATED AT THE LAUNCH SITE, AND REMOVAL
OF ALL DISMANTLED EQUIPMENT FROM THE LAUNCH SITE.

LAUNCH EQUIPMENT IS UNDERSTOOD TO BE SYSTEMS, COMPONENTS, AND
INSTRUMENTS REQUIRED TO LAUNCH A MISSILE." GRAYBEAL NOTED THAT
SOVIET DRAFT DEALT ONLY WITH ITEMS "ASSOCIATED WITH THE LAUNCHER
AND LOCATED AT THE LAUNCH SITE" AND FAILED TO INCLUDE FACILITIES
WHICH DIRECTLY SUPPORT A LAUNCH SITE, AND THAT SITE ALONE, WHICH
MAY NOT BE PHYSICALLY LOCATED AT THE SITE. THIS SUPPORTED US
LANGUAGE WHICH READS "LOCATED AT OR ASSOCIATED WITH THE LAUNCH
SITE."

2. USTINOV TABLED REVISED PARA. 8 OF PROTOCOL INCORPORATING US
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 USSCC 05871 061153Z

LANGUAGE AND A REVISED PARA. I.7 DROPPING THE NUMBER OF SSBNS

BETWEEN LAUNCH AND SEA TRIALS. GRAYBEAL ACCEPTED BOTH PARAGRAPHS WHICH READ AS FOLLOWS:

PARAGRAPH 8-

"THE NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT BALLISTIC MISSILE SUBMARINES WHICH ARE UNDER CONSTRUCTION SIMULTANEOUSLY SHALL NOT EXCEED A NUMBER CONSISTENT WITH A NORMAL CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE.

A NORMAL CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE IS UNDERSTOOD TO BE ONE CONSISTENT WITH PAST OR PRESENT CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES ON EACH SIDE."

PARAGRAPH I.7.-

"DISMANTLING OR DESTRUCTION OF REPLACED LAUNCHERS SHALL BE INITIATED NO LATER THAN THE DATE OF THE BEGINNING OF SEA TRIALS OF A REPLACEMENT SUBMARINE. THE INITIATION OF ANY OF THE ACTIONS IN SECTION II BELOW SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE THE INITIATION OF DISMANTLING OR DESTRUCTION OF AN ICMB LAUNCHER. THE INITIATION OF ANY OF THE ACTIONS IN SECTION III BELOW SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE THE INITIATION OF DISMANTLING OR DESTRUCTION OF AN SLBM LAUNCHER."

3. GRAYBEAL MADE PREPARED STATEMENT ON USE OF FACILITIES REMAINING AT ICBM SITES EXPLAINING AND SUPPORTING REVISED US LANGUAGE FOR PARA. II.4. OF PROCEDURES PER STATE 216221 READING AS FOLLOWS:

PARAGRAPH II.4.

"AFTER DISMANTLING OR DESTRUCTION HAS BEEN ACCOMPLISHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROCEDURES, FACILITIES REMAINING AT ICBM LAUNCH SITES MAY NOT BE USED EITHER FOR STORAGE, SUPPORT OR LAUNCH OF ICBMS, OR FOR STORAGE OR SUPPORT OF ICBM-CAPABLE LAUNCHERS, BUT MAY, AT THE DISCRETION OF THE SIDES, BE USED FOR OTHER PURPOSES NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE INTERIM AGREEMENT AND THE PROTOCOL THERETO."

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

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 USSCC 05871 061153Z

4. USTINOV TABLED REVISED PARA. 3 OF ABM PROTOCOL INCORPORATING US PROPOSAL OF NOVEMBER 1 AND AN ADDED THOUGHT THAT ASSOCIATED FACILITIES AT TEST RANGES WOULD BE EXCLUDED. REVISED PARA. READS AS FOLLOWS:

PARAGRAPH 3-

"DISMANTLING OR DESTRUCTION PROCEDURES FOR ABM SYSTEMS OR THEIR COMPONENTS RELATED TO IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE

VII REGARDING REPLACEMENT OF THOSE SYSTEMS OR THEIR COMPONENTS AND ARTICLE VIII OF THE AFOREMENTIONED ABM TREATY SHALL ENSURE THAT THE SYSTEMS OR THEIR COMPONENTS AND THE FACILITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THESE COMPONENTS, EXCLUDING THE FACILITIES AT TEST RANGES, WOULD BE PUT IN A CONDITION THAT PRECLUDES THE POSSIBILITY OF THEIR USE FOR ABM PURPOSES; SHALL ENSURE THAT REACTIVATION OF UNITS DISMANTLED OR DESTROYED WOULD BE DETECTABLE BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION, SHALL BE SUCH THAT REACTIVATION TIME OF SUCH UNITS WOULD NOT BE SUBSTANTIALLY LESS THAN THE TIME REQUIRED FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, AND SHALL PRECLUDE UNREASONABLE DELAYS IN DISMANTLING AND DESTRUCTION."

AFTER BRIEF DISCUSSION IN WHICH GRAYBEAL CLARIFIED PURPOSE OF SOVIET ADDITION, AS EXCLUDING ASSOCIATED FACILITIES AT TEST RANGES ONLY, HE INDICATED PRELIMINARY AGREEMENT WITH REVISED PARAGRAPH.

5. GRAYBEAL MADE BRIEF STATEMENT REINFORCING US WORDING OF PARAGRAPH IV.A. REGARDING INTENTION TO PROVIDE A REPLACEMENT SUBMARINE. USTINOV STATED THAT SOVIET LANGUAGE CALLING FOR "NOTIFICATION OF THE LOSS OR DISABLEMENT SHALL BE MADE TO THE OTHER SIDE" WAS IN AND OF ITSELF NOTIFICATION OF THE INTENTION TO REPLACE THE SUBMARINE. HE DIDN'T LIKE THE US WORDS BECAUSE OF THE PRIOR NOTIFICATION CONNOTATION THEREIN.

6. TURNING TO SECTION II OF THE ABM PROCEDURES USTINOV ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION CONCERNING WHY THE WALL CONSTRUCTION IN PARA. II.B. WAS TO BE COVERED WITHOUT DESTRUCTION OF THE WALLS, AND WERE LAUNCHERS INCLUDED IN PARA. II.C. GRAYBEAL RESPONDED THAT THE WALLS IN II.B. WERE IN EARLY STAGE OF CONSTRUCTION, MOSTLY BELOW GROUND LEVEL, AND WOULD BE COVERED WITH EARTH IN ORDER TO AVOID A LOCAL FARMER OR COW INJURING THEMSELVES. GRAYBEAL STATED THAT PARA. II.C. WOULD INCLUDE LAUNCHERS FOR WHICH, SINCE THE US HAD HALTED CONSTRUCTION OF MALMSTROM ON MAY 26, 1972, ONLY FOUNDATIONS EXISTED, AND THESE WOULD BE COVERED WITH EARTH.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 USSCC 05871 061153Z

7. NEXT MEETING NOV 8 AT WHICH TIME BOTH SIDES WILL CONTINUE DISCUSSION OF SPECIFIC ICBM DESTRUCTION AND DISMANTLING PROCEDURES AND CERTAIN ASPECTS OF ABM PROCEDURES. GRAYBEAL

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SUBJ SCC MEETING NOVEMBER 5, USTINOV TABLED FOLLOWING REVISION FO, PARA, OF
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 06 NOV 1973
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: martinjw
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973USSCC05871
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: RR
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: USSCC
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731151/aaaablbe.tel
Line Count: 158
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: martinjw
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 19 FEB 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: WITHDRAWN <31-Jan-2002 by boyleja, RDFRD, REFER TO DOE>; RELEASED <19 FEB 2002 by martinjw>; APPROVED <20 FEB 2002 by martinjw>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: PARM, (SCC 88-021)
To: SECSTATE WASHDC WASHDC
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005